

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/529,613	06	/08/2005	Sridhar Kudaravalli	4-32702A	1738	
1095 NOVARTIS	7590	12/04/2009		EXAMINER		
CORPORAT	E INTELLI	ECTUAL PROP	POHNERT, STEVEN C			
ONE HEALTH PLAZA 104/3 EAST HANOVER, NJ 07936-1080				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
13.13.1111.13.124.18.07330.1000				1634		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				12/04/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/529,613	KUDARAVALLI ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	STEVEN C. POHNERT	1634				
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>PENDING</u>						
(1) <u>STEVEN C. POHNERT</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>leslie Fischer</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 2 December 2009	Time: <u>11:00</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No	cant's representative)					
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 6, 7, 10						
Prior art documents discussed: none						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:				
Part III.						
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
/Steven C Pohnert/ Examiner, Art Unit 1634	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/529,613

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative to discuss the non-compliance of the response of 11/25/2009. The examiner and representative discussed several amendments to the claims that would overcome the issues of record as well as the non-compliance issue. Applicant's representative agreed to file a supplemental amendment to bring the response into compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 and present claims that addess all issues discussed by close of business on 12/3/2009, so as to avoid a non-compliant response.